
Rights and justice for all


Transparency of the Superior Council of Prosecutors

(1 January – 31 October 2024)

Organization of SCP meetings



Publication of main agendas






The publication date for almost two thirds of the agendas is unidentifiable, a problem that did not exist before.



Timely publication is confirmable only for 32% of all agendas (17 out of 53).

Examined matters




The share of resolved important matters regarding the work of the SCP and the prosecution system increased from 50% to 70%.

Duration of SCP meetings and the examination procedure (public or closed)









Half of the time spent in meetings, the proceedings were in closed sessions or deliberations.



132

2020

Disciplinary matters were examined openly, and secret voting was ruled out.

SCP decisions and their publication

Number of decisions adopted per year

the organization of competitions (selection 357 C and career progression of prosecutors) 206 the combination of the prosecutor job with 39 teaching activity 282 delegation to committees and working 169 groups the organization of the work of the SCP and 24 the prosecution system the approval of interim appointments 22 and executive appointments 5 2021 2022 2023 2024 (10 months) In ten months of 2024, the SCP

adopted more decisions than in any full year before.

Top five subject matters from decisions:



decisions (13% of all decisions) 304 allow determining decisions the moment of decisions 15% were published late publication do not (2% of all decisions) contain information 85% regarding the For 85% of the decisions, it is not known whether publication they were published on time, and only for 13% it date can be confirmed that they were published on time.

53

The full report is available for reading here.





Publication of decisions

This infographic was financed from a grant offered by the United States Department of State. The presented views, findings, and conclusions are those of the LRCM and do not necessarily reflect the position of the United States Department of State.